SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

me civii docket sneet. (SEE IN	ISTRUCTIONS ON THE REVER	SE OF THE FORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
TAMARA WEATHERL'	Y	$\prec$	EOS CCA		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Pl	hiladelphia	County of Residence of	f First Listed Defendant	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Nu	mber and Email Addr	ress)	O CONDEMNATION CASES, USI	E THE LOCATION OF THE
Craig Thor Kimmel, Es Kimmel & Silverman, I 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002				OCONDEMNATION CASES, USI NVOLVED.	E THE LOCATION OF THE
(215) 540-8888			<b>T</b>		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUI				BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability  PERSONER*PETITION 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Otd 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Y   610 Agriculture   620 Other Food & Drug   625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881   630 Liquor Laws   640 R.R. & Truck   650 Airline Regs.   660 Occupational Safety/Health   690 Other   710 Fair Labor Standards Act   720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations   730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act   740 Railway Labor Act   790 Other Labor Litigation   791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act   462 Naturalization Application   463 Habeas Corpus -	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   PROPERTY-RIGHTS   820 Copyrights   830 Patent   840 Trademark   840 Trademark   861 HIA (1395ff)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))   864 SSID Title XVI   865 RSI (405(g))   FEDERAL TAX SUITS   870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV ■ 810 Selective Service ■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions ■ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
□ 2 F	State Court	Appellate Court	Reopened anoth	sferred from 6 Multidist Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief description of ca		are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	an outside unicos urversity).	
VII. REQUESTED II COMPLAINT:	N CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTION	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	rif demanded in complaint: : ☑ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CA	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	V
Explanation: 06/23/	/2014				SIT
DATE		SIGNATURE C	OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD		JUN 2 4 2014



#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14

57100

Attorney I.D.#, 111 2 4 2014

3886

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 5308 Glenmore Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19143 Address of Defendant: 700 Longwater Drive, Norwell, MA 02061 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% of more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) No⊠ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ NoX 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously NoX terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? No⊠ CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. 

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1. 

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. D FELA 2. 

Airplane Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. D Marine Personal Injury 4. D Antitrust 5. D Patent 5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 7. D Products Liability 7. D Civil Rights 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 8. 

Habeas Corpus 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases (Please specify) 10, □ Social Security Review Cases 11. M All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) <u>15 U.S</u>.C. § 1692 ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) <u>i, Craiq Thor Kimmel</u> , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 57100 DATE: 06/23/2014 Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

Craig Thor Kimmel

Attorney-at-Law

DATE: 06/23/2014



TAMARA WEATHERLY

v.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

14 3886

EOS CCA	:	NO.								
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.										
SELECT ONE OF THE FOI	LLOWING CASE MANAC	GEMENT TRACKS:								
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.										
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.										
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. $\int (x)^{-1}$										
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.										
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)										
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (										
06/23/2014 Date	Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law	TAMARA WEATHERLY Attorney for								
215-540-8888	877-788-2864	kimmel@creditlaw.com								
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address								
(Civ. 660) 10/02										



### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



ΓAMARA W	EATHERLY,	)	14	38	8	G	
	Plaintiff		<b></b>	•	<b>U</b>	U	
v.	ý	Case No.:					
EOS CCA,		) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FO ) JURY TRIAL				OR	
	Defendant	) (Unlawful Debt	Collection	Practi	ces)		

#### **COMPLAINT**

TAMARA WEATHERLY ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys KIMME Clerk SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against EOS CCA ("Defendant"):

#### INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
  - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).



#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19143.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. In the alternative, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its headquarters located at 700 Longwater Drive, Norwell, Massachusetts 02061.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt alleged to be owed to Verizon.
- 12. The alleged debt, a Verizon personal cellular telephone account, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
  - 13. Plaintiff disputes owing the alleged debt.
- 14. This was an account which she transferred into her son's name and Plaintiff received verbal confirmation from Verizon that she would be removed from the account, as it did not belong to her.
- 15. Beginning in August 2013, and continuing through September 2013, Defendant repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on her home telephone in its attempts to collect a debt.

- 16. For example, Defendant called her on September 9, 2013, at 11:30 a.m., and September 10, 2013, at 8:00 a.m.
- 17. On at least one occasion, Plaintiff hung up on Defendant's collector and was called back immediately.
- 18. When calling Plaintiff back immediately, Defendant intended to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff, as it knew she did not want to be contacted, as she had just hung up the telephone.
  - 19. Defendant also contacted third parties seeking to collect this alleged debt.
  - 20. In August 2013, Defendant contacted Plaintiff's brother, Stephen Weatherly.
- 21. In its communications with Plaintiff's brother, Defendant disclosed that it was attempting to locate Plaintiff because she owed a debt.
- 22. At the time that it disclosed debt information to Plaintiff's brother, Defendant did not have Plaintiff's express consent to disclose debt information to third parties, including her brother.
- 23. Defendant's communication with Plaintiff's brother caused great embarrassment for Plaintiff.
- 24. Finally, within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notice setting forth her rights to dispute the debt and/or seek verification of the debt pursuant to the FDCPA.
  - 25. To date, Plaintiff has not received anything in writing from Defendant.
- 26. Defendant actions as described herein were made with the intent to harass, abuse, deceive and upset Plaintiff.

## DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

27. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:

#### **COUNT I**

- a. A debt collector violates § 1692c(b) of the FDCPA by communicating, in connection with the collection of a debt, with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector, without the prior consumer of the consumer given directly to the debt collector.
- b. Defendant violated § 1692c(b) of the FDCPA when it communicated, in connection with the collection of a debt, with a third party, Plaintiff's brother, without having Plaintiff's express permission to do so.

#### **COUNT II**

- a. A debt collector violates § 1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
- b. Here, Defendant violated § 1692d of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff on her home telephone with intent to annoy, abuse, and harass her in connection with a debt she does not owe.

#### **COUNT III**

a. A debt collector violates § 1692e of the FDCPA by using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the

collection of any debt.

- b. A debt collector violates § 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by making a false representation as to the character, amount, or legal status of any debt.
- c. Here, Defendant violated §§ 1692e and 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by falsely representing that Plaintiff owes a debt, when she did not owe a debt.

#### **COUNT IV**

- a. A debt collector violates § 1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- b. A debt collector violates §1692f(1) of the FDCPA by collecting an amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
- c. Here, Defendant violated §§ 1692f and 1692f(1) of the FDCPA by engaging in unfair and unconscionable actions in its attempts to collect a debt, including calling Plaintiff back immediately after Plaintiff hung up on Defendant's collector, continuing to call Plaintiff's home telephone in an attempt to collect a debt that she did not owe, and attempting to collect an amount not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt.

#### **COUNT V**

a. A debt collector violates § 1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the consumer, within five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice

24

25

containing: (1) the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed; (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

b. Here, Defendant violated § 1692g of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt or providing her with the name of the original creditor and the amount of the debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, TAMARA WEATHERLY, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and

d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, TAMARA WEATHERLY, demands a jury trial in this case.

DATE: June 23, 2014

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL
Attorney ID # 57100
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C
30 East Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 148 Facsimile (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com